APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/898,082	07/05/2001	Tadatomo Suga	925-203

EXAMINER
NGUYEN, JOSEPH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2815	8

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOSEPH NGUYEN   (3) _____

(2) JOSEPH RHOA   (4) _____

Date of Interview 12/8/2002

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:_____

_____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20

Identification of prior art discussed: Kawai et al

_____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant argued that Regarding claim 1, the product by process limitation should be considered since the final product can be determined distinctly from reference. Further, claim 20 was discussed regarding the term "contact". However, the Examiner will fully consider this when an amendment is filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.